

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/287,530	04/07/1999	KENJI MASAKI	325772009100	325772009100 6413	
25227	7590 02/06/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			CHOOBIN, BARRY		
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			2625	25	
			DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	DATE MAILED: 02/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/287,530	MASAKI, KENJI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Barry Choobin	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 21-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15,21-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)	<b></b>	(570 110) 5 ( )				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li></ol>	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2625

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed November 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., see Remarks, page 7, lines 18-20, wherein "the bits which are placed within the iamge data correspond to information obtained by image processing".) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3,6-8,11-13, 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Braudaway et al (US 5,530,759).

As to claims 1,6,11 and 21, Braudaway et al disclose an image processing apparatus, comprising: a processor, wherein the processor places bits for describing information different from information of image data obtained by image processing on



Art Unit: 2625

predetermined positions of said processed image, each of the pixel data being expressed by using multiple bits (column 4, line 60 through column 5, lines 23).

As to claims 2,7,12 and 22, Braudaway et al disclose pixels are dispersed at a plurality of predetermined positions on said image (column 5, lines 5-23).

As to claims 3,8, and 13, Braudaway et al disclose information different from information of said processed image data is information describing the contents of image processing performed on said original image data to obtain said processed image data 9column 5, lines 5-23).

Claims 23-24 are similarly analyzed and rejected.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4,5,9,10,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Braudaway et al in view of Rhoads (US 6,285,776).

As to claim 4, Braudaway et al disclose an image processing apparatus according to claim 1 (see claim 1). However, Braudaway et al fail to disclose information different from information of said processed image data is information describing time



Art Unit: 2625

when said image processing is performed on original image data to obtain said processed image data.

But, on the other hand, Rhoads in a method for identifying equipment used in counterfeiting discloses information different from information of said processed image data is information describing time when said image processing is performed on original image data to obtain said processed image data (column 2, lines 56-67), in order to address privacy concern when the image data being processed is recognized as corresponding to banknote.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the method of Rhoads with Braudaway et al in order to address the privacy concern.

Claims 5,9-10 and 14-15 are similarly analyzed and rejected.

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2625

Page 5

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

**CONTACT INFROAMTION** 

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Barry Choobin whose telephone number is 703-306-

5787. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 18:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 703-308-5246. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-

3900.

Barry choobin

February 4, 2004

Timethy M. Johnson TIMOTHY M. JOHNSON PRIMARY EXAMINER